

United States Patent and Trademark Office



PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/489,629	01/24/2000	Richard H. Lamb	201385	6064
7.	590 11/05/2002			
Phillip M Pippenger Leydig Voit & Mayer Ltd Two Prudential Plaza Suite 4900			EXAMINER	
			VU, THONG H	
180 North Stetson Chicago, IL 60601-6780			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>5</i>			2142	2
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

d

		<i>9</i> -	2
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	09/489,629	LAMB ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Thong H Vu	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Months, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.	-		
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	•	· •	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	pted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/489,629

Art Unit: 2142

- This office action is in response to Amendment filed 12/11/01. Amended claims
 1-29 are pending. The rejection is cited as stated below.
- 2. Claims 1-6,8-13,15-22,24-29,31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102[e] as being anticipated by Cohen et al [Cohen 6,389,462 B1]
- 3. As per claims 1 and 17 Cohen discloses a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for controlling access to a desired resource hosted on a destination server [Cohen abstract] comprising the steps of:
- (a) receiving handshaking packets from a client machine intended to begin a session with the destination server [Fig 3-6, col 7 lines 1-10, col 8 line 59-col 9 line 18,col 13 line 36-col 14 line 20, col 15 lines 9-34];
 - (b) redirecting network communications, including the steps of:

redirecting the handshaking packets by rewriting (i.e.: proxying) the destination address in the handshaking packets IP headers to route the packets to an access controlling web server [Fig 3, col 8 line 53-col 9 line 18];

receiving a content request packet from the client machine destined for the destination server intended to retrieve the desired resource (i.e.: destination port) from the destination server; and redirecting the content request packet by rewriting the destination address in the packet IP header to route the packet to the access controlling web server [Fig 3-6, col 3 line 47-col 4 line 27,col 14 lines 21-45,col 15 lines 35-67, col 16 line 1-col17 line 5];

(c) receiving a response from the access controlling web server [col 6 lines 23-46]; and

Application/Control Number: 09/489,629

Art Unit: 2142

(d) controlling access of the client machine to the desired resource based on the response from the access controlling web server [col 8 line 59-col 9 line 18].

Thus, the system and method of claims 1,17 is anticipated by the prior art.

- 4. As per claims 2,18 Cohen discloses establishing a connection between the client machine and the destination server if the response indicates that access to the desired resource is allowable [col 13 lines 37-col 14 line 20].
- 5. As per claims 3,19 Cohen discloses the content request packet comprises a GET URL packet [col 8 lines 11-52, col 15 lines 35-55].
- 6. As per claims 4,20 Cohen discloses the response indicates that access to the desired resource is allowable if the access controlling web server does not recognize the URL of the GET URL packet [col 5 lines 10-31].
- 7. As per claims 5,21 Cohen discloses the step of refusing a connection to the destination server, and establishing instead a connection between the client machine and the access controlling web server if the response is that the access controlling web server recognizes the URL of the GET LTRL packet as inherent feature or proxy or redirect request.
- 8. As per claims 6,13,22,29 Cohen discloses the step of establishing a connection between the client machine and the destination server comprises: resending the handshaking packets and GET URL packet to the destination server transparently with respect to the client machine [col 8 line 53-col 9 line 18].

Application/Control Number: 09/489,629

Art Unit: 2142

- 9. As per claims 8,15,24,31 Cohen discloses the step of determining whether to redirect network communications based on the content of a handshaking packet [Fig 3-6].
- 10. As per claims 9,16,25,32 Cohen discloses the step of determining whether to redirect network communications comprises deciding to redirect network communications if the handshaking packet is a SYN packet directed to port 80 on the destination server [col 6 lines 47-67].
- 11. As per claims 10,26 Cohen discloses the response indicates that access to the desired resource is allowable if the access controlling web server recognizes the URL of the GET URL packet.
- 12. As per claims 11,27 Cohen discloses the step of refusing a connection to the destination server, and establishing instead a connection between the client machine and the access controlling web server if the response indicates that the access controlling web server does not recognize the URL of the GET URL packet [col 5 lines 10-31].
- 13. As per claims 12,28 Cohen discloses the access controlling web server is an RSACi Web Server as inherent feature of Web server.
- Claims 7,14,23,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over Cohen et al [Cohen 6,389,462 B1] in view of the what was well-known in the art.
- 14. As per claims 7,14,23,30 Cohen discloses the invention as describe above. However Cohen did not detail embedding an identity token readable by the access

Art Unit: 2142

controlling web server in the GET URL packet, wherein the identity token uniquely identifies the client machine.

It is well-known in the art that an identifier or other information could be embedded into a GET request such [Chung col 2 lines 44-63]

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the Cohen's apparatus by incorporate the applet or token which is embedded in GET packet wherein the applet or token contained a client identifier or unique address. Doing so would provide a security and efficiency for accessing information on Internet.

Thus, the system and method of claims 1-32 is obvious in view of the references.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Thong Vu, whose telephone number is (703)-305-4643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00AM- 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart, can be reached at (703) 305-4815.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed:

After Final (703) 746-7238 (703) 746-7239

Official:

Non-Official (703) 746-7240

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 11,2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Thong Vu Patent Examiner Art Unit 2142

> MARK H. RINEHART SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 0012 HEBOHINOLOGYOFFINTER 2100 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

MARK H. RINEHART